



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,877	09/29/2003	Elmar Dörner	13909-097001 / 2002P10199	6017
32864	7590	09/18/2007	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,877	DORNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rasha Al-Aubaidi	2614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ahmad Matar. (3)_____.

(2) David Jordan. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see attachment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Art Unit: 2614

Applicant's representative asserted that the Final office action of 7/19/07 was premature because the use of a new reference (Miller, US Patent 6,907,011) in the Final office action was not necessitated by applicant's amendment. And further, because the Final office action failed to address claim 41. In the amendment filed on 5/17/07, applicant asserted that Beigel (US patent 6,532,218) and the current application had common ownership at the time the invention was made and thus Beigel did not qualify under 103(c).

In view of the above, the finality of the previous office action (7/19/07) is hereby withdrawn. The office action is now considered a non-final office action. Applicant's response is still due.

Examiner stated that the limitations of claim 41 appear to be similar to limitations already rejected and thus claim 41 is rejected for the same reasons discussed in the previous office action. The rejection in the previous office action is now presumed applicable to claims 1 – 41.



AHMAD F. MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700